

# TRANSCRIPT\_VIKING\_ISH3\_SESSION4\_170 724

Thank you. Thanks for coming back in the room, everyone. So this hearing is now resumed. So all we've got to do with now is the action points that that we've put together following the hearing during the course of today. So I'll go through them in order and if anyone's got any concerns or or queries, then please, please let us know. But looking through, we've we've listed 60 action points. So clicking off one for Natural England, and that's to provide further comments on the five minor outstanding issues. And that is by deadline for indeed all these action points will be by deadline for unless I say to the, to the contrary. So first one, as I say, for Natural England, moving on to number two, and this is for the applicant to submit the revised habitat strangulation assessment and respond to the remaining issues and the latest response from Natural England. Now, this is by deadline for assuming that national England's comments are are received by and I put the 22nd of July so next Monday. If they're not, then clearly that's going to be moved later. So that is that is an exception to the deadline for hard hard deadline. Moving on to action point number three. The applicant is to confirm with Natural England, that Natural England degree there is no harm to the site of special scientific interest. I think we're nearly there on that. So it's just a question of getting the confirmation number for preparing a passing based strategy in the area of the thoroughfare for adding to the construction traffic management plan and detail how traffic will be managed as a third thoroughfare and the whole road interface. Again as for the applicant number five is to amend the draft DCO to be clear as the improvement works at the entrance adjacent to swallow Park. Now we've put in there that's the deadline for are we getting a revised DCO deadline for a yes intention was a submit one a deadline for brilliant thank you very much indeed. Number six, a response and again, it's for the applicant or response as to whether the increased vehicle numbers in the transport assessment need to be reflected in an updated noise assessment as requested by East Lindsay District Council. Seven and this is to national highways something we discussed at some length earlier today. So national highways to provide a justification as to why they should be an approving body not just a consul T in respect of certain DCO requirements. Aid back to the applicant a more detailed response concerning the site selection reasons for the central construction compound positioned in the setting of the a n OB nine again for the applicant submit the technical note which has already been supplied to Natural England concerning the root alignment of the pipeline within the A O N B

number 10 And this is for the Northeast Lincolnshire Council. The meeting is has taken place between the counselors ecologist and the applicant, so provide an update of any outstanding concerns. 11 back to the applicant provide a further technical note to East Lindsay District Council concerning the noise impacts and the duration of these and also the proposed mitigation. Number 12 And this is jointly for the applicant and East Lindsay District Council provide details of other DCO schemes which are appropriate comparators in terms of noise assessment Number 13 provide updated illustrative plans to be certified showing the block valve stations without the venting stacks. That's for the applicant number 14 is for Lincolnshire county council provide responses to questions from the examining authority raised

as I sh three. So today's hearing, including why they think policy e n three is relevant to the application number 15, back to the applicants provide a statement of common ground with Historic England. Number 16. And again, for the applicant, a prepares scheme of evaluation following followed by mitigation to Lincoln checkout. So in respect of cultural heritage matters. Now this wasn't by default, this was by mid August, as as we discussed earlier, so not quite the the same timeline. These will obviously be published on the website in the next two to three days. But whilst we're in the meeting, is there anybody who wants to raise anything in relation to those action points as proposed outlined?

So there's just one matter of clarification, really, and it's as regards item 11. Sir, that item related to the provision by the applicant of a technical note to East Lindsey, District Council, regarding essentially, it's the duration of noise impacts and so on. You referred to that note addressing noise impacts and mitigation. I suppose just to be clear, the indication that we sought to give when dealing with that topic, was that in the first instance, we would provide that information to East NZ District Council and its advisor in relation to impact, and then if And insofar as there were any issues which the council felt required or any receptors which the council felt required mitigation, having had sight of that further detailed work, then we would look to agree that mitigation with them. So I suppose it's just that the mitigation element is in a sense, not something we anticipated dealing with by deadline for because it may be a it may be that our position is that no mitigation is necessary. And b if and insofar as it was felt that some form of mitigation weren't necessary, then that we would look to agree that subsequently deadline for with the District Council

Yes, I note the difference. But obviously, there's already mitigation proposed. And it's a question it what you may be saying is the mitigation stays the same as or it's already been proposed. Or you may be saying,

so that's absolutely right. Well, I suppose what I had in mind was the concept of any further mitigation, additional Yes. And the requirement or otherwise, for additional mitigation would be something that we would look to explore if indeed it were ultimately be thought to be necessary, we would look to explore that with the District Council after deadline for so it was just a flag. Quite how far that note is likely to go. Yeah, that's

that's absolutely fine. Mr. Booth, thanks for explaining that. And that's understood. And we'll we'll go with that. Has anyone got any other points in relation to the action points as we we've just listed? Right? If not, then, just to thank you to everyone who's come today and participated either in person or or virtually. That brings this issue specific hearing to a close. And as we said at the end yesterday, there is the opportunity with everybody in the room for you to go and have discussions and try and get a bit closer together on some of the issues that that have come up. So please do take that opportunity. And in the meantime, as I say thank you very much for attending, and we look forward to seeing the various submissions which I said earlier was 12 days away. I think it's only 11 days now. No, it is 12 days away so very much hope that we get this information by deadline for so thank you so much to everyone. Thank you, sir.